

BETTI NUMBERS OF $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -GRADED MODULES

MORTEN BRUN AND TIM RÖMER

ABSTRACT. Let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K . For bounded below $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules M and N we show that if $\mathrm{Tor}_p^S(M, N) \neq 0$, then for $0 \leq i \leq p$, the dimension of the K -vector space $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)$ is at least $\binom{p}{i}$. In particular, we get lower bounds for the total Betti numbers of such modules. These results are related to a conjecture of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K with n -variables. Observe that S has both a natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and a natural $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading by setting $\deg(X_i) = 1$ or $\deg(X_i) = \varepsilon_i$ respectively where ε_i is i -th canonical basis vector of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we let $|a| = a_1 + \dots + a_n$. We call a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module M *bounded below* if there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M_a = 0$ for $|a| \leq c$. In particular, every finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module is bounded below. Our main result is a strengthening of a result of Charalambous concerning S -modules of finite length [5, Theorem 2]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M, N be bounded below $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules. If $\mathrm{Tor}_p^S(M, N) \neq 0$, then for $0 \leq i \leq p$ the K -vector space dimension of $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)$ is at least $\binom{p}{i}$.*

This result is related to a conjecture of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud. Given an S -module M and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote with $\beta_i^S(M) = \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, K)$ the i -th Betti number of M . In this situation Buchsbaum and Eisenbud conjectured the following:

Conjecture (Buchsbaum-Eisenbud [4]): *If M is an S -module of finite length, then*

$$\beta_i^S(M) \geq \binom{n}{i} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

For a finite length module M over a regular local ring the conjecture was submitted to Hartshorne's problem list by G. Horrocks [11]. For this reason, the conjecture is often referred to as Horrocks' Problem. However, we will refer to it as the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud conjecture, since their article appeared before Hartshorne's problem list. The lower bounds for the Betti numbers imply in particular that $\sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i^R(M) \geq 2^n$, which was studied for example in [1].

In a series of papers ([5], [8], [16]) the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud conjecture was verified in the case where M is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module of finite length.

Given a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded S -module M we denote with $\mathrm{proj\,dim}(M) = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \beta_i^S(M) \neq 0\}$ the *projective dimension* of M . The module M is said to

have a *linear* resolution if M has a minimal $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded free resolution with homogeneous homomorphisms (of degree 0):

$$0 \rightarrow S(-p)^{\beta_p} \rightarrow S(-(p-1))^{\beta_{p-1}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S(-1)^{\beta_1} \rightarrow S^{\beta_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

The following result of Herzog and Kühn suggests that the statement of the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud conjecture may be extended to modules that are not necessarily of finite length:

Theorem 1.2 ([13]). *If a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded S -module M has a linear resolution, then*

$$\beta_i^S(M) \geq \binom{\text{proj dim}(M)}{i} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, \text{proj dim}(M).$$

However, as a result of Bruns shows [2, Korollar 1 zu Satz 2], the above lower bounds will not hold without restrictions on M . In [5, Theorem 3] the estimate $\beta_i^S(M) \geq \binom{n-d}{i}$ is proved for a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module M , where d is the dimension of M . Our main result 1.1 implies the sharper bounds of 1.2 in the case that M is a bounded below $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the concept of a poly-exact-sequence. A poly-exact-sequence is a collection of exact sequences well-suited for inductive construction of elements. This inductive procedure is formulated in Theorem 2.5. In Section 3 we study a particular poly-exact-sequence related to Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 then follows as a special case of Theorem 2.5. In Section 4 we specialize our discussion to Betti numbers.

2. POLY-EXACT-SEQUENCES

In this section we introduce our concept of a poly-exact-sequence and we study their main properties. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ set $\text{supp}(a) = \{i: a_i \neq 0\} \subseteq [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|a| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. We define $b \preceq a$ for $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ if $b_i \leq a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mathbf{P}([n])$ denote the power set of $[n]$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{Ab}$ denote the category of abelian groups. A map*

$$H: \mathbf{P}([n]) \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad (F, i, a) \mapsto H(F, i, a) = H_i(F)_a$$

is called a poly-exact-sequence, if the following is satisfied:

- (i) $H_i(\emptyset) = 0$ for $i > 0$.
- (ii) $H_i(F)_a = 0$ for $|a| \ll 0$.
- (iii) For all $F \subseteq [n]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $s \in [n] \setminus F$ there exist group homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(F, i, a, s): H_i(F)_a &\rightarrow H_i(F \cup \{s\})_a, \\ \pi(F, i, a, s): H_i(F \cup \{s\})_a &\rightarrow H_{i-1}(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s}, \\ \partial(F, i, a, s): H_i(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} &\rightarrow H_i(F)_a, \end{aligned}$$

such that the following sequences are exact:

$$H_i(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_i(F)_a \xrightarrow{\iota_s} H_i(F \cup \{s\})_a \xrightarrow{\pi_s} H_{i-1}(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_{i-1}(F)_a.$$

To simplify the notation we write ι_s , π_s and ∂_s if it is clear from the context which homomorphism we mean. We refer to the above exact sequence as the *fundamental exact sequence for H at (F, i, a, s)* .

We set $H_i(F) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} H_i(F)_a$. For $y \in H_i(F)_a$ we call i the homological degree (hdeg) and a the degree (deg) of y .

Note that we use the notation $H_i(F)_a$ for the values of H , which reminds of homology groups. In fact in our applications $H_i(F)_a$ will be the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -degree a part of the i -th homology group of a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded complex. The above definition was motivated by the following example:

Example 2.2. Let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. For $F \subseteq [n]$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $H_i(F, M)$ be the i -th Koszul homology of M with respect to the variables X_i with $i \in F$. It is well-known that for $F \subseteq [n]$ and $s \in [n] \setminus F$ there exists the long exact Koszul homology sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_i(F, M)(-\varepsilon_s) &\xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_i(F, M) \xrightarrow{\iota_s} H_i(F \cup \{s\}, M) \xrightarrow{\pi_s} \\ &H_{i-1}(F, M)(-\varepsilon_s) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_{i-1}(F, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the maps are $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -homogeneous (see [3, section 1.6] for details). The Koszul homology groups are $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded. Thus we may define $H_i(F)_a = H_i(F, M)_a$. Now one verifies that all conditions of 2.1 are satisfied. This is a special case of the poly-exact-sequence studied in 3.2.

In the rest of this section we present a technique to give lower bounds for the number of elements in the groups $H_i(F)$. Below H denotes a poly-exact-sequence.

Lemma 2.3. *If $i > |F|$, then $H_i(F)_a = 0$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $|F|$. By the axiom (i) for a poly-exact-sequence the lemma holds in the case where $|F| = 0$. Suppose that the lemma holds for F . It follows from the fundamental exact sequence for H at (F, i, a, s) that the lemma holds for $F \cup \{s\}$. $\square$

The following lemma observes that it is possible to 'push' elements at a fixed homological degree.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $F \subseteq [n]$, $s \in [n] \setminus F$ and $0 \neq y \in H_i(F)_a$ for some $0 \leq i \leq |F|$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then there exists an element*

$$0 \neq y_s \in H_i(F \cup \{s\})_{a - b_s \varepsilon_s} \text{ for some } b_s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Define $l = \min\{|c| : H_i(F)_c \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Observe that l is well defined because axiom (ii) ensures that $H_i(F)_c = 0$ for $|c| \ll 0$. We prove this lemma by induction on $|a| - l$. Let $|a| = l$. We consider the fundamental exact sequence for H at (F, i, a, s) :

$$H_i(F)_{a - \varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_i(F)_a \xrightarrow{\iota_s} H_i(F \cup \{s\})_a \xrightarrow{\pi_s} H_{i-1}(F)_{a - \varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_{i-1}(F)_a.$$

By the definition of l we have $H_i(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} = 0$. Therefore $\iota_s : H_i(F)_a \rightarrow H_i(F \cup \{s\})_a$ is injective and $y_s = \iota_s(y)$ fulfils the requirements.

Next assume that $|a| > l$. We have to consider two cases. If $\iota_s(y) \neq 0$, then we choose $y_s = \iota_s(y)$ and $b_s = 0$. Otherwise $\iota_s(y) = 0$. But by the fundamental exact sequence for H at (F, i, a, s) there exists a $0 \neq y' \in H_i(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s}$ with $\partial_s(y') = y$. By the induction hypothesis we get an element

$$0 \neq y_s \in H_i(F \cup \{s\})_{a-\varepsilon_s-b'_s\varepsilon_s}$$

for some $b'_s \in \mathbb{N}$. With $b_s = b'_s + 1$ we found again the desired element in degree $a - b_s\varepsilon_s$. $\square$

We are ready to prove the main result of this section. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall the convention that $\binom{i}{0} = 1$ and that $\binom{i}{j} = 0$ unless $0 \leq j \leq i$.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose given a subset F of $[n]$, numbers r and p satisfying that $0 \leq r \leq p \leq |F|$, a subset $\{l_1, \dots, l_r\} \subseteq F$ of cardinality r and a nonzero element $y \in H_p(F)_a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\binom{p-r}{i}$ many $I \subseteq F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ and nonzero elements $y_I \in H_{p-i}(F)_{a-b_I}$ with*

- (i) $b_I \in \mathbb{N}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $|b_I| \geq i$.
- (ii) $\text{supp}(b_I) \subseteq F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$.
- (iii) The degrees $\deg(y_I)$ are pairwise distinct.

Proof. The theorem clearly holds in the case where $|F| = r$. In particular it holds for $|F| = 0$. Let $0 \leq r < |F|$, and assume by induction that the theorem holds for every $G \subseteq [n]$ with $|G| < |F|$. In order to prove the induction step we consider two cases.

Case 1: Assume that for some $s \in F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ we have $\pi_s(y) = 0$. By the fundamental exact sequence for H at $(F \setminus \{s\}, i, a, s)$

$$H_i(F \setminus \{s\})_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_i(F \setminus \{s\})_a \xrightarrow{\iota_s} H_i(F)_a \xrightarrow{\pi_s} H_{i-1}(F \setminus \{s\})_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} H_{i-1}(F \setminus \{s\})_a,$$

there exists an element $0 \neq y' \in H_p(F \setminus \{s\})_a$ with $\iota_s(y') = y$. Lemma 2.3 ensures that $p \leq |F \setminus \{s\}|$ and therefore we can apply the induction hypothesis to y' and get that for all $i \geq 0$ there exist $\binom{p-r}{i}$ many $I' \subseteq (F \setminus \{s\}) \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ and $0 \neq y_{I'} \in H_{p-i}(F \setminus \{s\})_{a-b_{I'}}$ with

- (i) $b_{I'} \in \mathbb{N}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, $|b_{I'}| \geq i$.
- (ii) $\text{supp}(b_{I'}) \subseteq (F \setminus \{s\}) \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$.
- (iii) The degrees $\deg(y_{I'})$ are pairwise distinct.

Let $I = I' \cup \{s\}$. By 2.4 we can push the elements $y_{I'}$ to $0 \neq y_I \in H_{p-i}(F)_{a-b_{I'}-b_s\varepsilon_s}$ for some $b_s \geq 0$. With $b_I = b_{I'} + b_s\varepsilon_s$ we are done in this case.

Case 2: Assume that for all $s \in F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ we have $\pi_s(y) \neq 0$.

For $i = 0$ define $I = \emptyset$ and $y_I = y$. Let $i \geq 1$. Since

$$|F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}| \geq p - r$$

we can choose $s_1, \dots, s_{p-r} \in F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$. By the induction hypothesis the theorem applies to $F \setminus \{s_j\} \subseteq [n]$, $0 \leq r + j - 1 \leq p - 1 \leq |F \setminus \{s_j\}|$, $\{l_1, \dots, l_r, s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}\}$

$\subseteq F \setminus \{s_j\}$ and $\pi_{s_j}(y) \in H_{p-1}(F \setminus \{s_j\})_{a-\varepsilon_{s_j}}$. Hence for each s_j we get $\binom{p-1-r-j+1}{i-1}$ many $I_{s_j} \subseteq (F \setminus \{s_j\}) \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r, s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}\}$ with $|I_{s_j}| = i-1$ and elements

$$0 \neq y'_{I_{s_j}} \in H_{p-1-(i-1)}(F \setminus \{s_j\})_{a-\varepsilon_{s_j}-b'_{I_{s_j}}}$$

with

- (i) $b'_{I_{s_j}} \in \mathbb{N}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $|b'_{I_{s_j}}| \geq i-1$.
- (ii) $\text{supp}(b'_{I_{s_j}}) \subseteq (F \setminus \{s_j\}) \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r, s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}\}$.
- (iii) The degrees $\deg(y'_{I_{s_j}})$ are pairwise distinct.

By 2.4 we can push the elements $y'_{I_{s_j}}$ to

$$0 \neq y_{I_{s_j}} \in H_{p-i}(F)_{a-(1+b_{s_j})\varepsilon_{s_j}-b'_{I_{s_j}}}$$

for some $b_{s_j} \geq 0$. Define $b_{I_{s_j}} = (1+b_{s_j})\varepsilon_{s_j} + b'_{I_{s_j}}$. Note that $|b_{I_{s_j}}| \geq i$ and that for $s_j \neq s_{j'}$ with $j < j'$ we have $\deg(y_{I_{s_j}}) \neq \deg(y_{I_{s_{j'}}})$, because

$$s_j \in \text{supp}(b_{I_{s_j}}) \subseteq F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r, s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}\}$$

and

$$s_j \notin \text{supp}(b_{I_{s_{j'}}}) \subseteq F \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_r, s_1, \dots, s_j, \dots, s_{j'-1}\}.$$

Clearly $\deg(y_{I_{s_j}}) \neq \deg(y_{I'_{s_j}})$ for sets $I_{s_j} \neq I'_{s_j}$ with respect to the same s_j . We have produced

$$\binom{p-1-r}{i-1} + \binom{p-1-r-1}{i-1} + \dots + \binom{0}{i-1} = \binom{p-r}{i}$$

many elements $0 \neq y_{I_{s_j}} \in H_{p-i}(F)$ with pairwise distinct degrees. Thus we are done. $\square$

3. A POLY-EXACT-SEQUENCE FOR TOR-GROUPS

In this section we give an example of a poly-exact-sequence and present an application of 2.5. Let $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial algebra over a commutative and unital ring R with n -variables equipped with the grading $\deg(X_i) = \varepsilon_i$. For $F \subseteq [n]$ we define $R[F] \subseteq S$ to be the subalgebra generated by the variables X_i with $i \in F$.

The following is a lemma in [15] (Section 9). Since it is a crucial observation for our paper we reproduce its proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module, $F \subseteq [n]$ and $s \in [n] \setminus F$. Then there exists a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_{R[F]} M(-\varepsilon_s) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} S \otimes_{R[F]} M \xrightarrow{\iota_s} S \otimes_{R[F \cup \{s\}]} M \rightarrow 0$$

with $\partial_s(1 \otimes m) = X_s \otimes m - 1 \otimes X_s m$ and ι_s induced by the inclusion $R[F] \subseteq R[F \cup \{s\}]$.

Proof. Since M is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module, it is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded $R[F \cup \{s\}] = R[F][X_s]$ -module. We claim that the following sequence is exact

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R[F \cup \{s\}] \otimes_{R[F]} M(-\varepsilon_s) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} R[F \cup \{s\}] \otimes_{R[F]} M \xrightarrow{\iota_s} M \rightarrow 0$$

with $\partial_s(1 \otimes m) = X_s \otimes m - 1 \otimes X_s m$ and $\iota_s(r \otimes m) = rm$.

Clearly ι_s is surjective and $\iota_s \circ \partial_s = 0$. We claim that $\text{Ker}(\iota_s) = \text{Im}(\partial_s)$. If $\sum_{i=0}^k X_s^i \otimes m_i \in \text{Ker}(\iota_s)$, then $0 = \sum_{i=0}^k X_s^i m_i$. Define

$$n_j = \sum_{i=j+1}^k X_s^{i-j-1} m_i \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Then we have $m_k = n_{k-1}$, $m_j = n_{j-1} - X_s n_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $m_0 = -X_s n_0$. Hence $\partial_s(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} X_s^j \otimes n_j) = \sum_{i=0}^k X_s^i \otimes m_i$. It remains to show that ∂_s is injective. Assume that $\sum_{i=0}^k X_s^i \otimes m_i \in \text{Ker}(\partial_s)$. It follows from

$$0 = \partial(\sum_{i=0}^k X_s^i \otimes m_i) = \sum_{i=0}^k (X_s^{i+1} \otimes m_i - X_s^i \otimes X_s m_i)$$

that $0 = m_k = m_{k-1} - X_s m_k = \dots = m_0 - X_s m_1$ and therefore $m_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Since S is a flat $R[F \cup \{s\}]$ -module we can apply $S \otimes_{R[F \cup \{s\}]}$ to the sequence (1) and obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_{R[F]} M(-\varepsilon_s) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} S \otimes_{R[F]} M \xrightarrow{\iota_s} S \otimes_{R[F \cup \{s\}]} M \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules. □

In the proof of 3.1 we did not use the structure of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded modules. This result holds for arbitrary S -modules.

Recall that we say that a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module is *bounded below* if $M_a = 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $|a| \ll 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let M, N be $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules. If M and N are bounded below and either M or N is flat considered as an R -module, then T with*

$$T_i(F)_a = \text{Tor}_i^S(S \otimes_{R[F]} M, N)_a$$

for $F \subseteq [n], i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a poly-exact-sequence.

Proof. We have to verify the conditions of a poly-exact-sequence in 2.1.

(i): Since either M or N is flat considered as an R -module we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. The axiom now follows from the flat base-change formula: $T_i(\emptyset)_a = \text{Tor}_i^S(S \otimes_R M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$. (See for example Weibel [17], Proposition 3.2.9.)

(ii): $T_i(F)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module, which is bounded below, because $S \otimes_{R[F]} M$ and N are bounded below. Thus $T_i(F)_a = 0$ for $|a| \ll 0$.

(iii): By 3.1 we have for all $F \subseteq [n], i \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_{R[F]} M(-\varepsilon_s) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} S \otimes_{R[F]} M \xrightarrow{\iota_s} S \otimes_{R[F \cup \{s\}]} M \rightarrow 0.$$

The degree a -part of the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded long exact Tor sequences is

$$\cdots T_i(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} T_i(F)_a \xrightarrow{\iota_s} T_i(F \cup \{s\})_a \xrightarrow{\pi_s} T_{i-1}(F)_{a-\varepsilon_s} \xrightarrow{\partial_s} T_{i-1}(F)_a \cdots$$

Here π_s is the connecting homomorphism and the other maps are induced by the corresponding ones in 3.1. This concludes the proof. $\square$

The following theorem implies Theorem 1.1 and [5, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.3. *Let M, N be bounded below $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules and assume that either M or N is flat considered as an R -module. If $\mathrm{Tor}_p^S(M, N)_a \neq 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then for $i \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ there exist at least $\binom{p}{i}$ distinct $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $b \preceq a$, $|b| \leq |a| - p + i$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)_b \neq 0$. In particular, if $R = K$ is a field, we have $\dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N) \geq \binom{p}{i}$.*

Proof. By 3.2 we get the poly-exact-sequence T . Note that $T_i([n]) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)$. Since $T_p([n])_a \neq 0$ we get an element $0 \neq y \in T_p([n])_a$. The result now follows directly from 2.5 with $F = [n]$ and $r = 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. In the above theorem it is essential to require that M and N are bounded below. In order to see this, let $R = K$ be a field and $n = 1$. Then $S = K[X]$ is the polynomial ring with one indeterminate. Since $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K[X, X^{-1}], K) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$ the S -module $M = K[X, X^{-1}]/SX$ has $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(M, K) \cong K$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_0^S(M, K) = 0$.

4. LOWER BOUNDS FOR BETTI NUMBERS

In this section we let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K with n -variables. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we denote with $\beta_{i,a}^S(M) = \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i(M, K)_a$ the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded Betti numbers of M and with $\beta_i^S(M) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \beta_{i,a}^S(M)$ the total Betti numbers of M .

The following result verifies the bounds of 1.2 in the case of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded modules.

Corollary 4.1. *Let M be a bounded below $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. If $\beta_{p,a}^S(M) \neq 0$ for some $0 \leq p \leq n$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then*

$$\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^n, b \preceq a, |b| \leq |a| - p + i} \beta_{i,b}^S(M) \geq \binom{p}{i} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, p.$$

In particular, we have:

- (i) $\beta_i^S(M) \geq \binom{p}{i}$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i=0}^p \beta_i^S(M) \geq 2^p$.

Proof. Apply 3.3 with $N = K$. $\square$

Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote with $\beta_{i,j}^S(M) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |a|=j} \beta_{i,a}^S(M)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Betti numbers of M .

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded S -module $0 \neq M$ is defined as $\mathrm{reg}(M) = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \beta_{i,i+j}^S(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we define $d_k(M) = \min(\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \beta_{k,k+j}^S(M) \neq 0\} \cup \{\mathrm{reg}(M)\})$. Let $(F_\bullet, d_\bullet)$

be the minimal $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded free resolution of M . Since $F_i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S(-i-j)^{\beta_{i,i+j}^S(M)}$ and $d_i(F_i) \subseteq (X_1, \dots, X_n)F_{i-1}$ we have that $d_0(M) \leq d_1(M) \leq \dots$. We are interested in the numbers $\beta_i^{k,lin}(M) = \beta_{i,i+d_k(M)}^S(M)$ for $i \geq k$. The numbers $\beta_i^{lin}(M) = \beta_i^{0,lin}(M)$ are the Betti numbers of the linear Strand of M . The second author proved in [14] the next result, which we now get easily from 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $k \in [n]$ and M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. If $\beta_p^{k,lin}(M) \neq 0$ for some $p \geq k$, then*

$$\beta_i^{k,lin}(M) \geq \binom{p}{i} \text{ for } i = k, \dots, p.$$

Proof. If $\beta_p^{k,lin}(M) \neq 0$, there exists an element $0 \neq y \in \text{Tor}_p^S(M, K)_a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $|a| = p + d_k(M)$. By 4.1 we have

$$\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^n, b \leq a, |b| \leq |a| - p + i} \beta_{i,b}^S \geq \binom{p}{i} \text{ for } i = k, \dots, p.$$

Since all considered b in this sum have $|b| \leq |a| - p + i = i + d_k(M)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^S(M, K)_c = 0$ for $|c| < i + d_k(M)$ we have $\text{Tor}_i^S(M, K)_b \neq 0$ only if $|b| = i + d_k(M)$. Hence

$$\beta_i^{k,lin}(M) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |b| = i + d_k(M)} \beta_{i,b}^S(M) \geq \binom{p}{i} \text{ for } i = k, \dots, p.$$

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module and suppose that M is the k -th syzygy module in a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded free resolution. If $\beta_p^{lin}(M) \neq 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\beta_i^{lin}(M) \geq \binom{p+k}{i+k} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, p.$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.2 by the fact that if M is a k -th syzygy module of N , then $\beta_i^{lin}(M) = \beta_{i+k}^{k,lin}(N)$. For details we refer to [14] where the result is proved by a similar method. □

Remark 4.4. (a) The result 4.3 verifies the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded case of a conjecture of Herzog [12] on finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded S -modules. This conjecture is motivated by a result of Green [9] (see also Eisenbud and Koh [7] and Green [10]) that contains the case $i = 0, k = 1$. See [14] for details and other known cases.

(b) Let W be an n -dimensional K -vector space and $V = \text{Hom}_K(W, K)$ the dual vector space. We give the elements of W the degree 1. Thus the elements of V have the degree -1 . Then S can be considered as the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(W)$ of W . Let $E = \wedge V$ be the exterior algebra of V . A special case ([6], Prop. 2.1) of the so-called BGG correspondence shows that there exists a functor $\mathbf{R}$ which is an equivalence between the category of graded left S -modules and the category of linear free complexes over E . (See [6] for unexplained terms and proofs.) Moreover, if M is a graded S -module and $\mathbf{R}(M)$ is the corresponding linear free complex over E , then

we have $H^j(\mathbf{R}(M))_{i+j} \cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, K)_{i+j}$ ([6], Prop. 2.3). This implies immediately that

$$\min\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: H^j(\mathbf{R}(M)) \neq 0\} = \min\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: \beta_{0,j}^S(M) \neq 0\} = d_0(M).$$

We get that $H^{d_0(M)}(\mathbf{R}(M))$ is a graded E -module with $\dim_K H^{d_0(M)}(\mathbf{R}(M))_{i+d_0(M)} = \beta_i^{lin}(M)$. Thus Corollary 4.3 gives a lower estimate for the Hilbert function of $H^{d_0(M)}(\mathbf{R}(M))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. L. Avramov and R. Buchweitz, Lower bounds for Betti numbers, *Compos. Math.* **86**, no.2, 147-158, 1993.
- [2] W. Bruns, "Jede"endliche freie Auflösung ist freie Auflösung eines von drei Elementen erzeugten Ideals, *J. Algebra* **39**, 429-439, 1976.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **39**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [4] D. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3, *Am. J. Math.* **99**, 447-485, 1977.
- [5] H. Charalambous, Betti numbers of multigraded modules. *J. Algebra* **137**, no.2, 491-500, 1991.
- [6] D. Eisenbud and G. Fløystad and F.O. Schreyer, Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **355** 4397-4426, 2003.
- [7] D. Eisenbud and J. Koh, Some linear syzygy conjectures, *Adv. Math.* **90**, no.1, 47-76, 1991.
- [8] E. G. Evans and P. Griffith, Binomial behavior of Betti numbers for modules of finite length, *Pac. J. Math.* **133**, no.2, 267-276, 1988.
- [9] M. Green, Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties, *J. Differ. Geom.* **19**, no.1, 125-171, 1984.
- [10] M. Green, The Eisenbud-Koh-Stillman conjecture on linear syzygies, *Invent. Math.* **136**, no.2, 411-418, 1999.
- [11] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic vector bundles on projective spaces: A problem list, *Topology* **18**, 117-128, 1979.
- [12] J. Herzog, The linear strand of a graded free resolution, unpublished notes, 1998.
- [13] J. Herzog, M. Kühl, On the Betti numbers of finite pure and linear resolutions, *Commun. Algebra* **12**, 1627-1646, 1984.
- [14] T. Römer, Bounds for Betti numbers, *J. Algebra* **249**, no.1, 20-37, 2002.
- [15] J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, *Pure and Applied Mathematics* **85**, Academic Press, New York/San Francisco/London, 1979.
- [16] L. Santoni, Horrocks' question for monomially graded modules, *Pac. J. Math.* **141**, no.1, 105-124, 1990.
- [17] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **38**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

FB MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, 49069 OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY
 E-mail address: brun@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de

FB MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, 49069 OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY
 E-mail address: troemer@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de